EDM2 is required for *RPP7*-dependent disease resistance in Arabidopsis and affects *RPP7* transcript levels

Thomas Eulgem^{1,2,*}, Tokuji Tsuchiya², Xiao-Jun Wang³, Britt Beasley¹, Alayne Cuzick^{4,†}, Mahmut Tör⁴, Tong Zhu⁵, John M. McDowell³, Eric Holub⁴ and Jeffery L. Dangl^{1,6}

¹Department of Biology, CB#3280 University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA,

²Center for Plant Cell Biology, Department of Botany and Plant Sciences, University of California at Riverside, CA 92521, USA, ³Department of Plant Pathology, Physiology, and Weed Science, and Fralin Biotechnology Center, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0346, USA,

⁴Warwick-HRI, University of Warwick, Warwick CV35 9EF, UK,

⁵Syngenta Biotechnology, Inc., 3054 Cornwallis Road, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA, and

⁶Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Curriculum in Genetics, Carolina Center for Genome Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

Received 4 July 2006; revised 15 October 2006; accepted 18 October 2006.

*For correspondence: (fax +1 951 827 4437; e-mail thomas.eulgem@ucr.edu).

[†]Present address: Alayne Cuzick, Plant-Pathogen Interactions Division, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Herts. AL5 2JO, UK.

Summary

Specific disease resistance of Arabidopsis thaliana against the Hyaloperonospora parasitica isolate Hiks1 (HpHiks1) is mediated by RPP7. Although this disease resistance gene encodes a typical nucleotide binding site leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) disease resistance protein, its function is independent of the defense hormone salicylic acid and most known genes required for plant immune responses. We identified *EDM2* (enhanced downy mildew 2) in a genetic screen for *RPP7* suppressors. Mutations of *EDM2* phenocopy *RPP7* mutations, but do not affect other tested disease resistance genes. We isolated *EDM2* by map-based cloning. The predicted EDM2 protein is structurally unrelated to previously identified components of the plant immune system, bears typical features of transcriptional regulators, including plant homeodomain (PHD)-finger-like domains, and defines a plant-specific protein family. In *edm2* mutants both constitutive and *Hp*Hiks1-induced *RPP7* transcript levels are reduced, suggesting that EDM2 is either a direct or an indirect regulator of *RPP7* expression. Microarray analyses defined a set of defense-associated genes, the expression of which is suppressed during successful *Hp*Hiks1 colonization of either *rpp7* or *edm2* plants. This transcriptional phenotype is counteracted by an EDM2/RPP7-dependent mechanism.

Keywords: Arabidopsis thaliana, Hyaloperonospora parasitica, disease resistance gene, EDM2 (enhanced downy mildew 2)-like proteins (ELPs), plant homeodomain (PHD) finger.

Introduction

Disease resistance of plants to pathogenic microorganisms is often triggered by specific *R* genes that mediate the recognition of distinct races of biotrophic microorganisms by genetically interacting with pathogen-derived avirulence (*avr*) genes (gene-for-gene resistance; Dangl and Jones, 2001). In this case, the plant/pathogen interaction is incompatible (resistant plant; avirulent pathogen). *R*-mediated pathogen recognition triggers a complex defense program at infection sites, which typically involves the production of reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI, oxidative burst), nitric oxide (NO) and salicylic acid (SA), cell-wall modifications, production of antimicrobial metabolites/proteins and programmed cell death (HR; Nimchuk *et al.*, 2003). Many of these physiological changes are driven by transcriptional reprogramming of defense-associated genes (Eulgem, 2005; Katagiri, 2004). The absence of *R*-mediated recognition allows pathogen growth and the development of disease symptoms in the plant (compatible interaction; susceptible plant; virulent pathogen). However plant-defense responses are activated to a low degree during compatible interactions, thereby limiting the spread of disease. These basal defense responses can be triggered by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), such as peptides derived from bacterial flagellin, which are ubiquitously present in broad classes of pathogens (Chisholm *et al.*, 2006; Jones and Dangl, 2006; Zipfel *et al.*, 2004).

Numerous R genes have been cloned from Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis) and other higher plant species. They typically encode proteins with multiple C-terminal leucinerich repeats (LRRs), a central nucleotide binding site (NB) and either an N-terminal coiled coil (CC) or a 'toll/interleukin 1 resistance' (TIR) domain (Dangl and Jones, 2001). Mutant screens and yeast-two-hybrid experiments led to the discovery of other plant genes that are required for NB-LRRdependent resistance. Their products appear to serve one or more of the following three main functions: (1) controlling conformational changes and stability of NB-LRR proteins as molecular chaperones or co-chaperones (Hubert et al., 2003; Schulze-Lefert, 2004); (2) facilitating pathogen recognition as host proteins targeted by avr products and guarded by NB-LRR proteins (Chisholm et al., 2006; Dangl and Jones, 2001; Jones and Dangl, 2006); and (3) relaying NB-LRR-derived signals to activate defense reactions as signal transducers (Nimchuk et al., 2003).

Abundant evidence supports the existence of complex signaling mechanisms shared by multiple R genes and the basal defense system (Katagiri, 2004; Tao et al., 2003). These can variably involve coordinated production of H₂O₂, NO and SA (Delledonne et al., 2002). SA appears to be a central component for basal defense and for several, but not all, R-mediated responses. Elevated SA levels potentiate ROI production leading to signal amplification (Shirasu et al., 1997) and trigger transcriptional upregulation of defenseassociated genes (Schenk et al., 2000). In Arabidopsis, two separate signaling branches feed into SA signaling, one dependent on EDS1 and PAD4 (Feys et al., 2001), and the other dependent on NDR1 (Aarts et al., 1998; Coppinger et al., 2004). Several Arabidopsis R genes appear to function independently of SA (Bittner-Eddy and Beynon, 2001; McDowell et al., 2000; Tör et al., 2002; Tör et al., 2004), including RPP7 that conditions resistance to the Hiks1 isolate of the biotrophic oomycete Hyaloperonospora parasitica (Hp; Slusarenko and Schlaich, 2003). RPP7 encodes a CC-NB-LRR protein that is largely independent of NDR1, SA and most other known R signaling components (McDowell et al., 2000; JMM, unpublished data). SGT1b is the only gene described to date that dramatically affects RPP7 resistance (Slusarenko and Schlaich, 2003). Thus, RPP7 resistance is a promising target for identifying new defense components by genetic screens.

Here we describe the Arabidopsis *EDM2* (enhanced downy mildew 2) gene. *EDM2* is required for *RPP7* function and operates upstream of defense-associated ROI production as well as HR. Conserved features of EDM2 and EDM2-

like proteins (ELPs) define a new plant-specific protein family with a possible role in transcriptional regulation. Accordingly, we found that EDM2 is required for the proper control of *RPP7* transcript levels. Furthermore, microarray analyses revealed that *EDM2* and *RPP7*-dependent functions counteract the *Hp*-induced suppression of defense-associated genes.

Results

The edm2-1 mutation defines a locus essential for RPP7 function

RPP7 [in Arabidopsis accession Columbia (Col)] mediates strong resistance to the Hp isolate Hiks1 (HpHiks1; McDowell et al., 2000; Tör et al., 2002). We screened a population of Arabidopsis mutants (50 000 M2 seedlings derived from 6000 M1 Col-5 plants subjected to fast-neutron bombardment) to identify the loci required for RPP7 function. A total of eight mutants were recovered. Seedlings of one mutant (edm2-1) were fully susceptible to HpHiks1, lacked HR and allowed significant development of Hp hyphae, oospores and sporangiophores (Figure 1a,b; Table 1). No obvious developmental or morphological phenotypes of edm2-1 seedlings were observed. A single recessive mutation in edm2-1 is responsible for susceptibility to HpHiks1 (not shown). Complementation tests revealed that edm2-1 is defective in a locus distinct from RPP7 and SGT1b (not shown). The functions of other Col R genes, mediating resistance to either Hp or Pseudomonas syringae (RPP2, RPP4, RPM1, RPS2, RPS4 and RPS5), appear not to be affected by edm2-1 (not shown). Hence EDM2 is required specifically for RPP7 function, at least with respect to the R genes tested in this study.

We tested the effect of *edm2-1* on defense-associated ROI production, an early downstream component of *R* signaling (Torres and Dangl, 2005). *Hp*Hiks1-infected seedlings were stained with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Figure 1c). DAB staining results in the deposition of a brownish precipitate in the presence of H_2O_2 (Torres *et al.*, 2002). Infection sites on cotyledons of wild-type (WT) seedlings exhibited DAB staining by 24 h post-inoculation (24 hpi). DAB-stained infection sites were completely absent in cotyledons from *edm2-1* and *rpp7-1* seedlings. Hence, *edm2-1* either affects ROI production directly or alters an *RPP7*-dependent signaling step upstream of this response.

The edm2-1 mutation maps to the lower arm of chromosome 5

RPP7 is also present in the Arabidopsis accession Landsberg erecta (Ler; Tör *et al.*, 2002). To map the *edm2-1* mutation, we selected 516 *Hp*Hiks1 susceptible F2s from crosses between *edm2-1* and Ler WT plants. Because of a second



Figure 1. Mutations in *EDM2* (enhanced downy mildew 2) block *RPP7*mediated resistance, programmed cell death (HR) and defense-associated reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) production.

(a) Typical trypan blue-stained cotyledons of 2-week-old Col-5 (*RPP7/EDM2*), *rpp7-1, edm2-1, edm2-2, edm2-3* and *edm2-4* seedlings 7 days post infection with 5×10^4 Hyaloperonospora parasitica isolate Hiks1 (*Hp*Hiks1) spores ml⁻¹. Trypan blue stains *Hp* structures as well as HR sites dark blue. Hy, *Hp* hyphae; HR, HR sites; Oo, oospores. *edm2-2* (SALK_014520), *edm2-3* (SALK_144312) and *edm2-4* (SALK_142563) are T-DNA alleles.

(b) Typical examples of trypan blue-stained cotelydons of Col-5 and *edm2-1* seedlings at either 12 or 48 h post-inoculation (hpi) with *Hp*Hiks1. Arrows point to *Hp*Hiks1 spores attached to the host epidermis. The arrowhead points to an HR site.

(c) 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB)-stained cotyledons of CoI-5 and mutant seedlings. Typical cotyledons of 2-week old CoI-5, *edm2-1* and *rpp7-1* seedlings that were stained with DAB 24 hpi with 5×10^4 *Hp*Hiks1 spore smI⁻¹. The left-hand panel shows a germinated *Hp*Hiks1 spore (marked by arrow) surrounded by tissue showing DAB staining resulting from localized ROI production. Arrows indicate DAB stained infection sites. Three repetitions with >10 seedlings per host genotype gave identical results.

*Hp*Hiks1 recognition gene in Ler, *RPP27*, which is absent in Col-5, and is *EDM2* independent (Tör *et al.*, 2004), *Hp*Hiks1 susceptibility segregated in *edm2-1* × Ler F2s as two recessive loci on the lowest arms of chromosomes 1 and 5. As *RPP27* was mapped to the lowest arm of chromosome 1 (Tör *et al.*, 2004), we concluded that *EDM2* was localized on the lowest arm of chromosome 5.

Fine mapping localized *EDM2* to a 58.8-kb interval (covering the 3'-end of bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) MCO15 and the 5'-end of BAC MTE17) defined by one recombinant at each border (not shown). This region harbors 22 genes (from At5g55280 to At5g55490). Seven of

 Table 1 Results of Hyaloperonospora parasitica isolate Hiks1 (HpHiks1) infections

Arabidopsis line	Sporangiophores/cotyledon
Col-5 (WT)	0.03 ± 0.03
edm2-1	12.3 ± 1.1
edm2-2 (SALK_014520)	9.10 ± 1.0
edm2-3 (SALK_114312)	14.4 ± 1.1
edm2-4 (SALK_142563)	14.3 ± 0.9
rpp7-1	10.1 ± 0.9

Two-week-old seedlings, 7 days after spray inoculation with 5×10^4 HpHiks1 spores ml⁻¹. In each case 40 randomly chosen cotyledons were scored. Similar results were obtained with the *edm2-1* mutation after two backcrosses to Col-5 (not shown).

these encode Wax-Synthases and two encode DNA-Topoisomerases, all of which were unlikely EDM2 candidates. We tested SALK T-DNA insertion mutant lines (Alonso et al., 2003) that were available for seven of the remaining 13 genes of this interval. Three lines homozygous for independent insertions in the same gene, At5q55390, were fully HpHiks1 susceptible (Figure 1a, Table 1). The location of each EDM2 T-DNA insertion was confirmed by PCR and sequencing. All other tested insertion lines were resistant to HpHiks1 (not shown). Sequencing of At5g55390 in the edm2-1 mutant revealed a 2-bp deletion in the 17th exon, which creates a premature stop codon. Because At5g55390 is localized within the genetically defined EDM2 interval, and because four independent mutations in this gene resulted in complete HpHiks1 susceptibility, we have demonstrated that At5g55390 is EDM2.

EDM2 has structural features of transcriptional regulators and signal transduction proteins

By RT-PCR with gene-specific primers we cloned a 4080-bp full-length *EDM2* cDNA (Figure S1). Consistent with this, RNA blotting with poly(A)⁺ RNA from Col using the full-length *EDM2* cDNA as a probe resulted in a weak single band of approximately 4000 nucleotide length (not shown). Semiquantitative RT-PCRs indicated that *EDM2* transcript levels are reduced in *edm2-1* plants and absent in *edm2-2* plants (Figure 2).

The *EDM2* gene structure based on the full-length cDNA is shown in Figure 3a. The predicted EDM2 protein (Figure 3b) consists of 1297 amino acids and has no similarity with known proteins required for *R* function. A scan for putative functional domains using Prosite (http://www.expasy.org/ cgi-bin/scanprosite) revealed two putative bipartite nuclear localization signals (NLS), two zinc-finger-like motifs, a Proline-rich region and a large aspartic acid-rich region (Figure 3b). The latter two motifs as well as two smaller acidic regions may be involved in transcriptional activation (Blau *et al.*, 1996). Both zinc-finger-like stretches recognized



Figure 2. *EDM2* (enhanced downy mildew 2) transcript levels are reduced in *edm2* mutants.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCRs performed with total RNA from untreated 2-weekold Col-0, Col-5, *edm2-1* and *edm2-2* plants using a primer pair annealing to the 5' region of the EDM2 cDNA. Col-0 is the background of *edm2-2*; Col-5 is the background of *edm2-1*. As a reference, transcript levels of the *Actin8* gene were measured.

by Prosite strongly resemble the PHD (plant homeodomain)finger motif, which also has been implicated in transcriptional regulation (Aasland *et al.*, 1995; Kalkhoven *et al.*, 2002). Some structural features of EDM2 suggest functions in signal transduction. For example, certain sub-types of PHD fingers act as sensors of phospholipid messenger molecules in animals (Gozani *et al.*, 2003). In addition, a stretch with some similarity to G-protein γ subunit domains was recognized by Prosite. Collectively these motifs suggest a potential role of EDM2 as a gene regulator interacting with other signaling proteins.

EDM2-like proteins constitute a plant-specific family

The Arabidopsis genome harbors a gene closely related to EDM2 (At5g48090), which we term AtELP1 (EDM2-like protein 1; Figure 3c). Based on release 4 of the TIGR rice genome annotation (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/osa1/), the rice genome contains eight EDM2 orthologs (OsELP1-8; Figure 3c). Particularly conserved are regions containing the tandem repeat of PHD-finger-like motifs and the G-protein γ -like domain (Figure 4a,b). An additional highly conserved domain of ~260 amino acids has no obvious similarities to known protein domains (ELP domain; Figure 4c). The strongest similarity to a non-plant protein was to a region comprising the first two of four directly adjacent PHD-fingers of human NSD1, a transcriptional co-factor implicated in human malignancy (Rayasam et al., 2003). We found no non-plant proteins with obvious similarity to EDM2-like proteins outside the putative zinc-finger region. EDM2, its Arabidopsis paralog, and its rice orthologs therefore define a plant-specific protein family, which we designate as ELPs. There are two characteristic features of ELPs: (1) a structural cassette consisting of a tandem repeat of PHD-like zincfinger motifs and a G-protein γ -like domain; (2) a novel conserved domain of unknown function (ELP domain). Conservation of ELPs between distantly related dicots (Arabidopsis) and monocots (rice) suggests conserved functions across all higher plant species.



Figure 3. EDM2 (enhanced downy mildew 2) locus and sequence.

(a) Predicted structure of *EDM2* based on a full-length cDNA. Exons are represented by grey boxes. Three SALK T-DNA insertions (*edm2-2*, *edm2-3* and *edm2-4*) and the *edm2-1* deletion are indicated. The sequence of the 17th exon is given above the schematic representation of *EDM2*. The 2-bp region that is deleted in *edm2-1* (CT) is underlined. The first 29 bp section of exon 18 is given below the schematic *EDM2* representation. The 2-bp deletion in exon 17 results in a frameshift and a premature stop codon in exon 18 (underlined in the exon-18 sequence).

(b) EDM2 protein sequence (based on the full-length cDNA).

(c) Schematic representation of conserved domains shared by ELPs. *AtELP1*, At5g48090; *OsELP1*, Os08g24946; *OsELP2*, Os01g32720; *OsELP3*, Os08g39250; *OsELP4*, Os08g24930; *OsEPL5*, Os08g24880; *OsELP6*, Os12g12300; *OsELP7*, Os03g15990; *OsELP8*, Os01g32710. Color coding for b and c: yellow, acidic regions; red, plant homeodomain (PHD) finger-like motifs; cyan, prolines in the proline-rich region; black, bipartite nuclear localization signals; brown, G-protein γ -like subunit domain; blue, EDM2-like protein (ELP) domain.

EDM2 and RPP7 control transcription of a common cluster of genes

We repeated our previous analyses of *RPP4*, *RPP7* and *RPP8* transcriptional profiling (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004) with custom

Figure 4. Conserved structural features of EDM2 (enhanced downy mildew 2)-like proteins (ELPs) define a plant-specific protein family.

(a)A tandem repeat of plant homeodomain (PHD)-finger-like motifs of EDM2 is conserved in plant and animal proteins. Alignment of the PHD-finger-like regions of EDM2, AtELP1, four rice orthologs of EDM2 (OsELPs) and HsNSD1 (AAK92049). Four additional rice ELPs that contain either partial or interrupted PHD-like repeats were not included. For each protein both displayed peptide stretches are directly adjacent to each other and constitute one continuous sequence. The consensus sequences of both PHDfinger-like regions from At and Os ELPs, as well as the consensus Cys/His pattern of PHD fingers (PHD; with spacings; Kalkhoven et al., 2002; Kwan et al., 2003), are given below the alignments. Conserved Cys and His residues that correspond to zinc-coordinating residues in PHDfingers are red and underlined. The position of conserved bulky hydrophobic residues is marked by # in the PHD-finger consensus sequence. Discrepancies between EPLs and conserved hydrophobic residues of the PHD finger consensus are marked by black arrowheads. The red arrowhead points to a conserved Cvs residue that is shifted in the ELP sequences by either eight or nine positions towards the C-terminus relative to the PHD-finger consensus pattern.

(b)Alignment of a region with moderate similarity to G-protein γ subunits that is conserved among ELPs. The consensus sequence of the ELP G γ -like domain is given below the alignment. This region is absent in OSELP3, OSELP7 and OSELP8.

(c)Alignment of ELP domains. This region is absent in OsELP5, OsELP6, OsELP7 and OsELP8. Conserved residues are highlighted in A, B and C as follows: Yellow, strict identity; blue, strongly conserved identity; grey, conserved similarity.



NKFIDKALTFKPKLIILIVPKETERLD-K--PY-LIWED---LSGKSFYLPGSVDVNDK--EQWN-SPPPLSLWSRPDWTRKHK-IAE-HGH-

Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA, USA) whole genome exon arrays representing ~26 000 Arabidopsis genes (26 K set) using RNA preparations from an independent set of *Hp*Hiks1 and *Hp*Emoy2 infection time-courses (Table 2). *Hp* spores do not germinate synchronously and only small patches of plant tissue are infected, leading to some variability in microarray experiments (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004 and data not shown). Despite this, we identified a robustly reproducible cluster of genes sharing a sustained and relatively late *Hp*induced increase of transcript levels (coinciding with the appearance of HR) in WT plants when either *RPP4* or *RPP7* signaling is activated. We had previously defined this as cluster II using an 8 K array (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004). We renamed cluster II as *LURP* (late upregulated in response to *H. parasitica* recognition). The typical expression patterns of *LURP* genes are a continuous increase of transcript levels over the first 48 h after the triggering of *RPP7* resistance, and a substantial transcript level increase between 12 and 48 h after the triggering of disease resistance via a second *R* gene, *RPP4* (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004). The accumulation of *LURP* transcripts is either delayed or attenuated in lines deficient for the respective *RPP* functions, resulting in pronounced differences of transcript levels in comparisons between resistant and susceptible genotypes. These differences are particularly obvious at 48 hpi with *Hp*Emoy2 (triggering *RPP4*) and at 12 hpi with *Hp*Hiks1 (triggering *RPP7*) for a core set of nine *LURP* genes, which were co-clustered in the hierarchical cluster analysis we performed for all *LURP*

Arabidopsis line	Hp isolate & cognate R gene	Interaction type	Time-points [hpi]	Comments		
Col-0	Emoy2/ <i>RPP4</i>	I	0, 12, 48	1 technical replicate		
pad4	Emoy2/RPP4	С	0, 12, 48	1 technical replicate		
, nahG	Emoy2/RPP4	С	0, 12, 48	1 technical replicate		
Col-5	Hiks1/RPP7	I	0, 12, 24, 48	2 technical replicates		
rpp7-1	Hiks1/RPP7	С	0, 12, 24, 48	2 technical replicates		
edm2-1	Hiks1/RPP7	С	0, 12, 24, 48	2 technical replicates		
Col-0:RPP8	Emco5/RPP8	1	0, 12	Rehybridization ^a		
Col-0	Emco5/RPP8	С	0, 12	Rehybridization ^a		

Table 2 Microarray experiments performed with 26 K whole-genome Affymetrix chips

C, compatible; hpi, h post-inoculation; l, incompatible.

^aRNA samples from previous 8 K Affymetrix chip set (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004) were re-hybridized to the whole-genome array.

genes combining data from the 8 K (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004) and the 26 K data sets (Figure 5a, compare with and without asterisks; Table S1). The effects of the *rpp7-1* and *edm2-1* mutations were similar at 12 hpi, resulting in strongly reduced transcript levels of core *LURP* genes compared with Col plants.

Strikingly, transcript levels of core LURP genes consistently exhibited a transient decline in rpp7 plants within 12 hpi with HpHiks1, relative to their levels at 0 hpi. In contrast, core LURP transcript levels increased in HpHiks1infected Col plants during the same time interval (Eulgem et al., 2004). This trend was reproduced in the 8 K and 26 K data sets. To examine if this feature applies broadly, we selected a set of 79 genes from the 26 K data set that show a minimum Pearson correlation of 0.80 to the average pattern of the core set of LURP genes (Figure 5b). In Col plants transcript levels of these genes tend to steadily increase after HpHiks1 infection. Within the first 12 hpi following infection of rpp7-1 with HpHiks1, their transcripts typically exhibit a transient drop in relative accumulation (relative to their levels at 0 hpi). The edm2-1 mutation had similar effects on transcript profiles of this extended set of 79 LURP genes at 12 hpi (Figure 5b). However, levels of some LURP transcripts were already lower at 0 hpi in edm2-1, resulting in a less steep decline between 0 and 12 h in edm2-1, compared with rpp7-1. Semi-guantitative RT-PCR analysis using RNA from biological replicates that were independent of those used for our microarray experiments confirmed that transcript levels of all nine core LURP genes exhibit a decline in rpp7-1 and edm2-2 (an mRNA-null allele) as early as 2-4 hpi with HpHiks1. Their levels rise in Col plants during the same time interval (Figure 5c). Thus, in the absence of functional RPP7 or EDM2, the levels of LURP transcripts drop transiently within 12 h of infection with HpHiks1, resulting in a pronounced suppression relative to their levels in Col plants.

edm2 mutants express reduced RPP7 transcript levels

RPP7 is not represented on either the 8 K or the custom 26 K Affymetrix Arabidopsis Genome Arrays (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004).

Therefore, we used semi-quantitative RT-PCR to test whether *RPP7* transcript levels are altered in *edm2* plants (Figure 5d). *RPP7* transcripts are moderately induced in Col plants at early time-points after *Hp*Hiks1 infection. All four *edm2* alleles, including *edm2-2*, exhibited reduced *RPP7* transcript levels (Figure 5d and not shown). Thus, EDM2 contributes to *RPP7* transcript regulation in either a direct or an indirect manner.

Discussion

RPP7 provides robust resistance to *Hp*Hiks1 (McDowell *et al.*, 2000). We identified *EDM2* as a defense regulator that is apparently specific to *RPP7*-mediated resistance. The deduced EDM2 protein is unrelated to any previously identified components of defense signaling. The *rpp7-1* and *edm2-1* mutations both confer strong susceptibility to *Hp*Hiks1. Moreover, both mutations eliminate *Hp*Hiks1-induced host ROI production, as well as HR, and generate similar alterations to the *RPP7*-mediated expression of a signature set of defense response genes. The similarity of their effects on downstream responses as well as the specificity of their genetic interactions suggests a close functional relationship between *EDM2* and *RPP7*. *EDM2* is, thus far, specifically required for *RPP7* function.

EDM2 has several structural features typical for transcriptional regulators, most notably two PHD-finger-like motifs. Many mammalian PHD-finger proteins have been implicated in gene regulatory mechanisms involving histone modifications and nucleosome remodeling (Kalkhoven et al., 2002; Nielsen et al., 2004). Most PHD-finger proteins contain DNAbinding homeodomains (Aasland et al., 1995). Although these family members can bind directly to DNA, other PHDfinger proteins require interactions with separate DNA binding proteins (Rayasam et al., 2003). EDM2 lacks known DNA binding domains, and may therefore interact with one or more DNA-binding proteins. The parsley homeodomaincontaining PHD-finger protein, PRHP, binds to the promoter of a PR-10-type defense-related gene (Korfhage et al., 1994). However, a function for PRHP in disease resistance has not been demonstrated. Besides the presence of PHD-finger-like



Figure 5. EDM2 (enhanced downy mildew 2) affects transcript levels of a set of late/sustained upregulated genes and RPP7.

(a) Transcript profiles of a sub-cluster of nine genes that exhibit robust late/sustained upregulation following *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* (*Hp*) recognition [core late upregulated in response to *Hp* recognition (*LURP*) genes]. The displayed sub-cluster resulted from hierarchical cluster analysis performed with all 38 members of the *LURP* cluster (formerly called cluster I]; Eulgem *et al.*, 2004) combining data from the 8 K (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004) and 26 K microarray analyses (labeled by asterisks; see Table 2). Transcript level ratios from the indicated comparisons between resistant and susceptible plant genotypes at several time points post infection with *Hp* are represented. Red signals indicate higher transcript levels in plants with intact *R* signaling relative to susceptible lines, whereas green signals indicate the opposite. Maximal color intensity represents an either 3-fold or higher expression difference. Treatments diagnostic for dependency on the tested *R* genes are highlighted as follows: *RPP4*, blue (infected with *Hp*Emoy2); *RPP7*, red (infected with *Hp*Hiks1); *RPP8*, green (infected with *Hp*Emco5). Col plants (C) contain *RPP4* mediated resistance.

(b)Normalized transcript levels of 79 genes co-expressed with core LURP genes in Col-5, edm2-1 and rpp7-1 plants at the indicated time points post HpHiks1 infection. These data were generated with custom Affymetrix whole-genome exon arrays. The transcript patterns shown exhibit a minimum Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.80 to the weighted average pattern of core LURP genes. Pearson correlation coefficients consider general patterns and not amplitudes of data (Knudsen, 2002). The weighted average expression pattern of all 79 genes is shown in red. Signal intensities for treatments and probe sets represented in this figure are listed in Table S2.

(c, d)Semi-quantitative RT-PCR assays monitoring transcripts from nine core LURP genes (c) and RPP7 (d) in 2-week-old Col-0 and edm2-2 plants at various time points after infection with HpHiks1. As a reference, transcript levels of the Actin8 (ACT8) gene were measured.

motifs there are no other structural similarities between PRHP and EDM2.

RPP7 is constitutively expressed in unchallenged Col seedlings. *Hp*Hiks1 recognition triggers a moderate early and transient increase of *RPP7* transcript levels. Both the

basal and transiently increased *RPP7* transcript levels are partially dependent on *EDM2*. Thus, EDM2 positively contributes to *RPP7* expression. The effect of EDM2 on *RPP7* expression may be either direct or indirect and might require interactions with DNA-binding proteins. Multiple transcription factors have been implicated in the regulation of the plant defense transcriptome and disease resistance to biotrophs (reviewed in Eulgem, 2005). Those described in detail to date operate downstream in defense signaling and require the accumulation of SA. In contrast, EDM2 appears to act much earlier and seems to function, at least in part, by affecting the expression of the R gene with which it specifically functions.

Defined steady-state levels of NB-LRR proteins like RPP7 are a key factor for their function (Bieri *et al.*, 2004; Holt *et al.*, 2005; Hubert *et al.*, 2003). Post-translational control mechanisms involving HSP90 chaperones as well as the putative co-chaperones RAR1 and SGT1 appear to control NB-LRR protein levels and activity. Our results indicate that transcriptional regulation might also contribute to *R* gene activity. A moderate reduction of *RPP7* transcript levels in *edm2* mutants is correlated with a loss of *RPP7* function. It is currently unclear if loss of disease resistance in *edm2* mutants is caused by the reduction of constitutive *RPP7* transcript levels, by the delay and attenuation of *RPP7* upregulation, by the deregulation of *LURP* genes or by some combination of these.

Although constitutive *RPP7* expression is likely to occur at defined levels throughout most plant tissues, its *Hp*Hiks1-induced upregulation is probably limited to infection sites. Thus, the moderate increase of *RPP7* transcript levels observed at early time points in our RT-PCR experiments may reflect a substantial upregulation in a small number of cells. This may lead to an increased *Hp*Hiks1 recognition capacity in cells at (and surrounding) infection sites, resulting in a stronger protection against *Hp*Hiks1 hyphae that escape the first line of defense and a more efficient recognition of additional infection attempts. Therefore, both constitutive and upregulated *RPP7* expression may be important for *Hp*Hiks1 resistance.

Upregulation of known and putative R genes in response to defense stimuli has been reported. For example, transcripts of multiple NB-LRR genes were found to be upregulated in response to flagellin (Navarro et al., 2004). Moreover, the RPP8 gene, which is distantly related to RPP7, is upregulated in response to Hp (JMM, unpublished data). Additionally, transcriptional upregulation of the atypical R genes, RPW8.1, RPW8.2 and Xa27, as well as the RPP8 allele HRT occurs, and is correlated with their various disease-resistance functions (Chandra-Shekara et al., 2004; Gu et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2003). Transcriptional upregulation of R and other defensesignaling genes during basal defense responses has been suggested as a mechanism that could sensitize plant cells for subsequent *R*-mediated pathogen recognition (Navarro et al., 2004; de Torres et al., 2003). Future experiments will have to address whether defense-associated accumulation of *R* transcripts is required for full disease resistance, or is attendant response involved in 'boosting' basal an

defense responses to prepare for specific *R*-mediated responses.

Our microarray analyses suggest that, besides *RPP7*, a large number of additional genes (including *LURPs*) exhibit reduced transcript levels in *edm2-1*. Thus, mutations in *EDM2* might disrupt the defense network at multiple levels. Therefore, we cannot rule out that full *Hp*Hiks1 susceptibility in *edm2* mutants is caused by combined effects resulting from the reduced activity of *RPP7* and additional *EDM2* dependent genes. However, such additional effects of *edm2* mutations might be dependent on *RPP7*, as they do not affect function of the limited number of additional *RPP* genes accessible for us to test in Col. Future experiments will address the question of whether the effects of *edm2* mutations on *Hp*Hiks1 resistance and *LURP* expression can be complemented by driving *RPP7* expression independently from *EDM2*.

Relative transcript levels of core LURP genes (and possibly additional genes showing a *LURP*-type expression pattern) show a striking drop between 0 and 12 hpi with HpHiks1 in both rpp7 and edm2 mutants. In HpHiks1-infected Col plants this relative diminution of expression was either absent or much less pronounced. Thus, we can rule out circadian rhythms and other periodic mechanisms as causes of this effect. HpHiks1-suppressed core LURP genes include NPR4, WRKY70 and ACD6, which are known to contribute to disease resistance (Tables S1 and S2; Knoth et al., in press; Li et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2003; Veronese et al., 2003). Additional members of this gene set are required for full basal resistance to Hp (Knoth et al., in press). Therefore, it is unlikely that core LURP transcript levels are actively downregulated by the Arabidopsis immune system. A more likely explanation is that this transient drop results from a virulence-promoting function of Hp.

Despite the absence of *R*-mediated recognition, basal defense responses (including the expression of LURP and other defense-associated genes) are moderately activated during compatible Hp interactions, and probably contribute to limiting disease (Eulgem et al., 2004; Glazebrook, 2001; Jones and Dangl, 2006). To compromise basal defense reactions, Hp may secrete effector proteins into host cells that transiently suppress core LURP genes, by analogy with the well-studied type-III effector proteins from phytopathogenic bacteria (Chang et al., 2004; Mudgett, 2005). Recent findings indicate that oomycetes including Hp express effectors that function inside host plant cells (Allen et al., 2004; Armstrong et al., 2005; Rehmany et al., 2005). Conserved RXLR motifs in these proteins may mediate trafficking into host cells, where they promote virulence (Birch et al., 2006). Interestingly, a RLXR protein from the oomycete Phytophthora infestans carries a functional nuclear localization signal that may target it to host nuclei during infection (Birch et al., 2006). Interference of this protein with host transcription and defense, however, has not yet been reported. Hence, transcriptional activation of the core *LURP* genes is potentially a target for (an) *Hp*-derived virulence function(s).

HpHiks1-induced suppression of core LURP genes is absent in WT Col plants with functional RPP7 and EDM2. Formally, the lack of their suppression in WT plants may simply result from RPP7-mediated EDM2-dependent interference with Hp growth. However, the relative drop of core LURP transcript levels takes place between 0 and 12 hpi, and is detected by RT-PCR as early as 2 hpi (Figure 5c). We observed neither HpHiks1 spore germination nor hyphal growth in either resistant or susceptible plants during the first 12 hpi (Figure 1b; Eulgem et al., 2004). Therefore, a reasonable scenario explaining our observations involves the antagonism of signals from multiple sources: (1) the recognition of HpHiks1 spores activates basal defense responses including the expression of core LURP genes; (2) the concurrent expression of other HpHiks1-derived signals transiently suppresses core LURP expression, potentially by targeting EDM2, in order to promote disease; (3) the RPP7-mediated EDM2-dependent recognition of an HpHiks1-derived molecule triggers rapid and high-amplitude defense responses that counteract the Hp-derived disease-promoting signals. This scenario could include direct targeting of EDM2 by an HpHiks1-derived virulence factor and the subsequent indirect activation of RPP7, in accordance with the Guard Hypothesis for NB-LRR activation (Chisholm et al., 2006; Dangl and Jones, 2001; Jones and Dangl, 2006). Such intricate communication between host and pathogen reflects the high degree of co-evolution between obligate biotrophs and their hosts, and bears further scrutiny.

Experimental procedures

Infection of Arabidopsis seedlings and staining of cotelydon tissue

Hp was grown, propagated and applied to Arabidopsis as described previously (McDowell *et al.*, 2000). Arabidopsis seedlings were grown on soil in a clean growth chamber (10-h day, 14-h night, 21°C; 100 µeinstein m⁻² sec⁻¹) and spray inoculated with 50 000 spores ml⁻¹ of *Hp*Hiks1. Trypan blue and DAB staining of infected Arabidopsis cotelydons was performed as described previously (McDowell *et al.*, 2000; Torres *et al.*, 2002).

Mutant screening and map-based cloning of EDM2

The *edm2-1* mutant was identified in screens for *Hp*Hiks1-susceptible fast neutron Col-5 mutants as described previously (Tör *et al.*, 2002). Crude mapping was performed with 50 Hiks1-susceptible F2s from crosses of *edm2-1* to Ler-0 plants (homozygous for Col-5 alleles at *edm2*). Using a set of simple sequence length polymorphism (SSLP) markers distributed over the genome and polymorphic between Col-5 and Ler-0 (Lukowitz *et al.*, 2000) we mapped *EDM2* to the lower arm of chromosome 5. Fine mapping

was performed with a total of 516 Hiks1-susceptible F2s from edm2/Ler crosses using additional SSLP markers on the lowest arm of chromosome 5. These new SSLP markers were designed using known Col-0/Ler-0 polymorphisms (http://www.arabidopsis. org/Cereon/index.jsp) (Lukowitz et al., 2000). Two markers were identified that localized EDM2 to a 58.8-kb interval: MCO15-D (based on indel CER455334 at position 22439798 of chromosome 5) and MTE17-B (based on indel CER457157 at position 22498662 of chromosome 5). One recombinant was identified with each marker. No recombinants were identified with marker MTE17-A (based on indel CER457146 at position 22447025 of chromosome 5), which is located between MCO15-D and MTE17-B. Sequences of primers used for MCO15-D, MTE17-A and MTE17-B are listed in Table S3a. SALK T-DNA lines (Alonso et al., 2003) with insertions in the EDM2 interval were ordered from the ABRC Stock Center at Ohio State University (http://www.biosci.ohio-state.edu/ ~plantbio/Facilities/abrc/abrchome.htm), T-DNA lines homozygous for the respective insertions were identified as described previously (Alonso et al., 2003).

Microarray analyses

Microarray experiments were performed as described previously (Eulgem et al., 2004) with custom Affymetrix Arabidopsis wholegenome exon arrays representing 26367 Arabidopsis genes (http:// syngentabiotech.com/EN/partnership/array_information.aspx). For each HpHiks1 experiment of the 26 K set, two technical replicates were performed. Reproducibility between these replicates was excellent. For each pair of technical replicates the correlation coefficient was at least 0.990. Averages of the signal intensities from the respective replicates were used for further analysis. Raw data for all chips, as well as information about the microarray design, are deposited at ArrayExpress (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/aerep/) according to the MIAME guidelines under the accession numbers E-TABM-96 and A-MEXP-366, respectively. Scanned images were acquired and processed by Affymetrix MAS5.0. Normalized expression levels were computed by a custom algorithm (Zhou and Abagyan, 2002). Cluster analysis was performed using CLUSTER and TREEVIEW (Eisen et al., 1998) as described previously (Eulgem et al., 2004). Genes coexpressed with core LURP genes were defined using GeneSpring (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) by selecting genes with normalized mRNA profiles showing a Pearson correlation of ≥0.80 to the weighted average profile of the LURP core set (http:// www.silicongenetics.com/cgi/TNgen.cgi/GeneSpring/GSnotes/Notes/ want_average).

RT PCRs

Total RNA was extracted from 2-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings using RNAwiz (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). After the treatment of RNA with Dnase I (NEB, Beverly, MA, USA), 2 μ g of the RNA was used for synthesis of 1st-strand cDNA using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The resulting cDNA (1 μ I) was used for RT-PCR in a total reaction volume of 20 μ I with 5 U of *Taq* polymerase (NEB). PCR product (8 μ I) was loaded for gel electrophoresis. The cycle numbers for PCRs were determined by comparing the intensities of PCR products after gel electrophoresis and are as follows: 30 for *EDM2*, 28 for *RPP7*, 25 for *WRKY70* (At3g56400), 26 for *CaBP-22* (At2g41090), 35 for At2g14560, 26 for At3g22240, 23 for At2g25510, 32 for At1g72910, 29 for *NPR4* (AT4G19660), 29 for *ACD6* (AT4G14400), 27 for *WAK1* (AT1G21250) and 21 for *Actin8*. The used PCR primers are listed in Table S3b,c.

Acknowledgements

We thank Charles Chilcott (Syngenta, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) for contributions to the microarray experiments and data quality analyses, Julia Richman (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA) and Linda Saetern (University of Caifornia, Riverside, CA, USA) for technical assistance and Colleen Knoth (University of Caifornia, Riverside) for valuable suggestions. This work was supported by USDA-NRI-CGP grant CSREES 99-35301-7848 to JLD, USDA-NRI grant 02-35319 to JMM, BBSRC grants to MT and EH, as well as Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; EU 51/1) and Max-Planck-Society-Otto Hahn Medallion post-doctoral fellowships to TE. We thank the NSF Arabidopsis 2010 supported SIGNAL T-DNA Express and the NSF supported Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC) for providing seeds.

Supplementary Material

The following supplementary material is available for this article online:

Figure S1. EDM2 full length cDNA sequence.

Table S1 Transcript ratios of all genes shown in Figure 5a

Table S2 Signal intensities for all genes shown in Figure 5b. Listed are raw signal intensities (average difference values). Values <25 were raised to 25 to eliminate noise (Eulgem *et al.*, 2004). In addition to AGI locus identifiers, common names for some genes with known or putative roles in defense are given

Table S3 (a) Primers of key markers used for EDM2 fine mapping(b) Primers used for RT-PCR analyses of *EDM2* transcripts

(c) Primers used for RT-PCR assays shown in Figure 5

This material is available as part of the online article from http:// www.blackwell-synergy.com

References

- Aarts, N., Metz, M., Holub, E., Staskawicz, B.J., Daniels, M.J. and Parker, J.E. (1998) Different requirements for EDS1 and NDR1 by disease resistance genes define at least two R gene mediated signalling pathways in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 95, 10306–10311.
- Aasland, R., Gibson, T.J. and Stewart, A.F. (1995) The PHD finger: implications for chromatin-mediated transcriptional regulation. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 20, 56–59.
- Allen, R.L., Bittner-Eddy, P.D., Grenville-Briggs, L.J., Meitz, J.C., Rehmany, A.P., Rose, L.E. and Beynon, J.L. (2004) Host-parasite coevolutionary conflict between Arabidopsis and downy mildew. *Science*, 306, 1957–1960.

Alonso, J.M., Stepanova, A.N., Leisse, T.J. et al. (2003) Genomewide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science, 301, 653–657.

Armstrong, M.R., Whisson, S.C., Pritchard, L. et al. (2005) An ancestral oomycete locus contains late blight avirulence gene Avr3a, encoding a protein that is recognized in the host cytoplasm. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 7766–7771.

Bieri, S., Mauch, S., Shen, Q.H. et al. (2004) RAR1 positively controls steady state levels of barley MLA resistance proteins and enables sufficient MLA6 accumulation for effective resistance. Plant Cell, 16, 3480–3495.

Birch, P.R., Rehmany, A.P., Pritchard, L., Kamoun, S. and Beynon, J.L. (2006) Trafficking arms: oomycete effectors enter host plant cells. *Trends Microbiol.* 14, 8–11.

Bittner-Eddy, P.D. and Beynon, J.L. (2001) The Arabidopsis downy mildew resistance gene, *RPP13-Nd*, functions independently of *NDR1* and *EDS1* and does not require the accumulation of Salicylic Acid. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **14**, 416–421.

- Blau, J., Xiao, H., McCracken, S., O'Hare, P., Greenblatt, J. and Bentley, D. (1996) Three functional classes of transcriptional activation domain. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 16, 2044–2055.
- Chandra-Shekara, A.C., Navarre, D., Kachroo, A., Kang, H.G., Klessig, D. and Kachroo, P. (2004) Signaling requirements and role of salicylic acid in HRT- and rrt-mediated resistance to turnip crinkle virus in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 40, 647–659.
- Chang, J.H., Goel, A.K., Grant, S.R. and Dangl, J.L. (2004) Wake of the flood: ascribing functions to the wave of type III effector proteins of phytopathogenic bacteria. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 7, 11–18.
- Chisholm, S.T., Coaker, G., Day, B. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2006) Hostmicrobe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell*, **124**, 803–814.
- Coppinger, P., Repetti, P.P., Day, B., Dahlbeck, D., Mehlert, A. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2004) Overexpression of the plasma membrane-localized NDR1 protein results in enhanced bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* 40, 225– 237.
- Dangl, J.L. and Jones, J.D.G. (2001) Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infection. *Nature*, 411, 826–833.
- Delledonne, M., Murgia, I.D.E., Sbicego, P.F., Biondani, A., Polverari, A. and Lamb, C. (2002) Reactive oxygen intermediates modulate nitric oxide signaling in teh plant hypersensitive disease-resistance response. *Plant. Physiol. Biochem.* 40, 605–610.
- Eisen, M.B., Spellman, P.T., Brown, P.O. and Botstein, D. (1998) Cluster analysis and display of genome-wide expression patterns. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **95**, 14863–14868.
- Eulgem, T. (2005) Regulation of the Arabidopsis defense transcriptome. *Trends Plant Sci.* 10, 71–78.
- Eulgem, T., Weigman, V.J., Chang, H.-S., McDowell, J.M., Holub, E.B., Glazebrook, J., Zhu, T.a. and Dangl, J.L. (2004) Gene expression signatures from three genetically separable resistance gene signaling pathways for downy mildew resistance. *Plant. Physiol.* **135**, 1129–1144.
- Feys, B.J., Moisan, L.J., Newman, M.A. and Parker, J.E. (2001) Direct interaction between the *Arabidopsis* disease resistance proteins, EDS1 and PAD4. *EMBO J.* 19, 5400–5411.
- Glazebrook, J. (2001) Genes controlling expression of defense responses in Arabidopsis–2001 status. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 4, 301–308.
- Gozani, O., Karuman, P., Jones, D.R. et al. (2003) The PHD finger of the chromatin-associated protein ING2 functions as a nuclear phosphoinositide receptor. Cell, 114, 99–111.
- Gu, K., Yang, B., Tian, D. et al. (2005) R gene expression induced by a type-III effector triggers disease resistance in rice. Nature, 435, 1122–1125.
- Holt, B.F. III, Belkhadir, Y. and Dangl, J.L. (2005) Antagonistic control of disease resistance protein stability in the plant immune system. *Science*, **309**, 929–932.
- Hubert, D.A., Tornero, P., Belkhadir, Y., Krishna, P., Takahashi, A., Shirasu, K. and Dangl, J.L. (2003) Cytosolic HSP90 associates with and modulates the Arabidopsis RPM1 disease resistance protein. *EMBO J.* 22, 5679–5689.
- Jones, J.D.G. and Dangl, J.L. (2006) The plant immune system. Nature, 444, 323–329.
- Kalkhoven, E., Teunissen, H., Houweling, A., Verrijzer, C.P. and Zantema, A. (2002) The PHD type zinc finger is an integral part of the CBP acetyltransferase domain. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 22, 1961–1970.
- Katagiri, F. (2004) A global view of defense gene expression regulation – a highly interconnected signaling network. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 7, 506–511.

- Knoth, C., Ringler, J., Dangl, J.L. and Eulgem, T. (in press) Arabidopsis WRKY70 is required for full RPP4-mediated disease resistance and basal defense against Hyaloperonospora parasitica. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact..*
- Knudsen, S. (2002) A Biologist's Guide to Analysis of DNA Microarray Data. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Korfhage, U., Trezzini, G.F., Meier, I., Hahlbrock, K. and Somssich, I.E. (1994) Plant homeodomain protein involved in transcriptional regulation of a pathogen defense-related gene. *Plant Cell*, 6, 695– 708.
- Kwan, A.H., Gell, D.A., Verger, A., Crossley, M., Matthews, J.M. and Mackay, J.P. (2003) Engineering a protein scaffold from a PHD finger. *Structure (Camb)* **11**, 803–813.
- Li, J., Brader, G. and Palva, E.T. (2004) The WRKY70 transcription factor: a node of convergence for jasmonate-mediated and salicylate-mediated signals in plant defense. *Plant Cell*, 16, 319–331.
- Liu, G., Holub, E.B., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R. and Fobert, P.R. (2005) An Arabidopsis NPR1-like gene, NPR4, is required for disease resistance. *Plant J.* 41, 304–318.
- Lu, H., Rate, D.N., Song, J.T. and Greenberg, J.T. (2003) ACD6, a novel ankyrin protein, is a regulator and an effector of salicylic acid signaling in the Arabidopsis defense response. *Plant Cell*, **15**, 2408–2420.
- Lukowitz, W., Gillmour, C.S. and Scheible, W.-R. (2000) Positional cloning in Arabidopsis: why it feels good to have a genome initiative working for you. *Plant Physiol.* **123**, 795–806.
- McDowell, J.M., Dhandaydham, M., Long, T.A., Aarts, M.G.M., Goff, S., Holub, E.B. and Dangl, J.L. (1998) Intragenic recombination and diversifying selection contribute to the evolution of Downy Mildew resistance at the *RPP8* locus of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 10, 1861–1874.
- McDowell, J.M., Cuzick, A., Can, C., Beynon, J., Dangl, J.L. and Holub, E.B. (2000) Downy mildew (*Peronospora parasitica*) resistance genes in Arabidopsis vary in functional requirements for *NDR1*, *EDS1*, *NPR1*, and Salicylic acid accumulation. *Plant J.* 22, 523–530.
- Mudgett, M.B. (2005) New insights to the function of phytopathogenic bacterial type III effectors in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 56, 509–531.
- Navarro, L., Zipfel, C., Rowland, O., Keller, I., Robatzek, S., Boller, T. and Jones, J.D. (2004) The transcriptional innate immune response to flg22. Interplay and overlap with Avr gene-dependent defense responses and bacterial pathogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* 135, 1113–1128.
- Nielsen, A.L., Jorgensen, P., Lerouge, T., Cervino, M., Chambon, P. and Losson, R. (2004) Nizp1, a novel multitype zinc finger protein that interacts with the NSD1 histone lysine methyltransferase through a unique C2HR motif. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 24, 5184–5196.
- Nimchuk, Z., Eulgem, T., Holt, I.B. and Dangl, J.L. (2003) Recognition and response in the plant immune system. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 37, 579–609.
- Rayasam, G.V., Wendling, O., Angrand, P.O., Mark, M., Niederreither, K., Song, L., Lerouge, T., Hager, G.L., Chambon, P. and Losson, R. (2003) NSD1 is essential for early post-implantation development and has a catalytically active SET domain. *EMBO J.* 22, 3153–3163.

- Rehmany, A.P., Gordon, A., Rose, L.E., Allen, R.L., Armstrong, M.R., Whisson, S.C., Kamoun, S., Tyler, B.M., Birch, P.R. and Beynon, J.L. (2005) Differential recognition of highly divergent downy mildew avirulence gene alleles by RPP1 resistance genes from two Arabidopsis lines. *Plant Cell*, **17**, 1839–1850.
- Schenk, P.M., Kazan, K., Wilson, I., Anderson, J.P., Richmond, T., Somerville, S.C. and Manners, J.M. (2000) Coordinated plant defense responses in *Arabidopsis* revealed by microarray analysis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, 97, 11655–11660.
- Schulze-Lefert, P. (2004) Plant immunity: the origami of receptor activation. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 0R22–24.
- Shirasu, K., Nakajima, H., Rajasekhar, V.K., Dixon, R.A. and Lamb, C.J. (1997) Salicylic acid potentiates an agonist-dependent gain control that amplifies pathogen signals in the activation of defense mechanisms. *Plant Cell*, **9**, 261–270.
- Slusarenko, A.J. and Schlaich, N.L. (2003) Downy mildew of Arabidopsis thaliana caused by Hyaloperonospora parasitica (formerly Peronospora parasitica). *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 4, 159–170.
- Tao, Y., Xie, Z., Chen, W., Glazebrook, J., Chang, H.S., Han, B., Zhu, T., Zou, G. and Katagiri, F. (2003) Quantitative nature of Arabidopsis responses during compatible and incompatible interactions with the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae. *Plant Cell* 15, 317–330.
- Tör, M., Gordon, P., Cuzick, A., Eulgem, T., Sinapidou, E., Mert, F., Can, C., Dangl, J.L. and Holub, E.B. (2002) Arabidopsis SGT1b is required for defense signaling conferred by several Downy Mildew (*Peronospora parasitica*) resistance genes. *Plant Cell*, 14, 993–1003.
- Tör, M., Brown, D., Cooper, A., Woods-Tor, A., Sjolander, K., Jones, J.D. and Holub, E.B. (2004) Arabidopsis downy mildew resistance gene RPP27 encodes a receptor-like protein similar to CLAVATA2 and tomato Cf-9. *Plant Physiol.* **135**, 1100–1112.
- Torres, M.A. and Dangl, J.L. (2005) Functions of the respiratory burst oxidase in biotic interactions, abiotic stress and development. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 8, 397–403.
- Torres, M.A., Dangl, J.L. and Jones, J.D.G. (2002) Arabidopsis gp91phox homologues AtrbohD and AtrbohF are required for accumulation of reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant defense response. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 99, 523–528.
- de Torres, M., Sanchez, P., Fernandez-Delmond, I. and Grant, M. (2003) Expression profiling of the host response to bacterial infection: the transition from basal to induced defence responses in RPM1-mediated resistance. *Plant J.* **33**, 665–676.
- Veronese, P., Ruiz, M.T., Coca, M.A. et al. (2003) In defense against pathogens. Both plant sentinels and foot soldiers need to know the enemy. Plant Physiol. 131, 1580–1590.
- Xiao, S., Brown, S., Patrick, E., Brearley, C. and Turner, J.G. (2003) Enhanced transcription of the Arabidopsis disease resistance genes RPW8.1 and RPW8.2 via a salicylic acid-dependent amplification circuit is required for hypersensitive cell death. *Plant Cell*, 15, 33–45.
- Zhou, Y. and Abagyan, R. (2002) Match-only integral distribution (MOID) algorithm for high-density oligonucleotide array analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 3: Art. No. 3 2002.
- Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Navarro, L., Oakeley, E.J., Jones, J.D., Felix, G. and Boller, T. (2004) Bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis through flagellin perception. *Nature*, **428**, 764–767.

EDM2 full length cDNA sequence

ATGACGTTCGTTGACGATGATGAAGAGGAAGACTTCTCTGTTCCTCAATCAGCTTCCAATTATTATTTCGAAGATGATGATAAAGAGCC TGTTTCGTTTGCTCGTCTCCCAATTCAATGGAGCGTGGAGGAGAAAGTTGATGGTAGTGGTTTAGGTTTTACTTGCGAGGAAGATCTG ATAACGGCCTTTTGCCTCTGCATAAGCTTGTTAAGGCTTGGAGATACGATCTTTCGAACTTCCAGCCTGAGATTTCTGTTCTTACGAAG GATAATATATGGATTAAGCTTGAGGAACCGAGGAAAAGCTATGGGGAATTGATTAGAACTGTTTTGGTCACGTTGCATTCCATTCAGTT TCTTAGGAGGAATCCCCAAGCGTCCGAGAAAGCTCTCTGGGAGAAATTAACTAGAAGTTTGAGGTCATATGAGGTGAGAAGCCATCGCAGA ATGATTTGGTGGATCATATTGGTTTAATCGCTGAAGCTGCGAAAAGAGATAGAAATTTGGCGAATTCGAAGTTTATACTTGCATTTCTC ACAAAAAAGCCTACCAAAAGGAGATTACCTGACGAGGACAATGCAAAAGATGATTTCATAGTTGGAGATGAGGACACTTATGTAGCTTC AAGGAAGCTGCCTGAGATCATTTCATGCTACCAAAAAAGATGGTGAAGATTCACTTTGTGATTCTCTTGGCTTTAACAAGATGCAAGTG ${\tt GAAGCAATTCAGAAATACTTTTGCCCAAACTGTGAGCATAAGATACATCAATGCTTCATTTGCAAGAACCTTGGCTCTTCTGATAACTC}$ TTCTGGAGCAGCAGCAGGGTTTTCCAATGCGTGTCAGCCACCTGTGGCTACTTTTACCATCCTCACTGTGTCACAAGACGGCTACGTTTAG GAAATAAAGAAGAGTCTGAAGCACTAGAAAGACAAATCATTGCTGGAGAGTATACATGCCCATTGCACAAATGCAGTGTCTGTGAAAAAC GGAGAGGTTAAGACAGACTCTAATTTGCAATTTGCTGTTTGCCGGCGTTGTCCAAAGTCCTACCATAGAAAATGCCTACCGCGGGAAAT ATGAGATAGATGAAGAACTTCTGACACCAGTTAGAGACCATGTTAAGTTTCCTTTCACGGAAGAGCAGAAGGTCTTCGTGAAAGAGCAA AGAAGGATACTGGAATCACATGTGGGACGAGATAAAGCAAGACTTAAGGTTAAGGATCCTGCCTTACAAGATACTTGTGGAAAAGCTTC TAAGAATTCCTTTAGAAGTTCGTTTCCTTCCTTCAAAAGATGGTTTCTCCACAAAGAAGCATGGATTAGTTTCATCCGTACCAGATCATT CGAGGAAACGTAAGGATATTGATCCATCATAAAGCATAAAATGGTTCCACAAAAATCCCAGAAGATGATGGAAGACTCCCGTGAAGCT GGCAAAAACCAAGCTGGGAGTAAAAGAAGCCCCGTGATGCTGGTGGAAGATTTCACTGGGTGAGAGAGTTGTTTAGTTTACCCCAGGA AAATTCCTACATTAGACAACGACTCTCAAAGGAGGCTCTTGGCAGTGATGAAAAAAGCTACGGAAGAAATAACTATGGGTACTATTTTA AAGAAATTCAAAATTCAATCTACTATGAGTACACACCCCCCACAAGGAATGTTGTGGACAAGACAATCACTATGGGGAAGGTAGAGGGATC GCCAAATCCTCAAGTGGAAGGATAAGCTCAAAGTTTATCTTGCTCCTTTTCTCCATGGTGCACGCTATACCTCATTTGGTCGTCATTTT ACTAATCCTGAAAAACTTCAACAGATTGTTGATAGGCTGCATTGGTATGCAGATGATGGTGATATGATTGTCGACTTCTGTTGTGGTTC ${\tt TAATGACTTTAGCTGTCTGATGAACGCGAAGCTTGAAGAAACGGGGAAGAAGTGCTTATATAAAAATTACGATCTTTTTCCAGCGAAGA$ CCATTTGGAGTCAATGCTTCTCTTGCAAACAAGTTTATTACCAAGGCTCTTGAGTTCCGCCCAAAGATTCTCATTCTCATTGTTCCTCCCGAGACTGAAAGGTTAGATAAAAAGAAGTCATCATATGTGCTTATATGGGAGGATAAGACGTTCCTATCTGGAAATTCATTTTACCTGC ${\tt CTGGTTCTGTCAATGAAGAAGACAAGCAATTGGAAGACTGGAACCTTGTTCCTCCGCCACTTTCTCTCTGGAGTCGGTCCGACTTTGCA$ GCCAAGCACAAGAAAATAGCGGAGAAGCATTGCCATTGTCTAGGGATGTGGGGAGCTCAAAGTTAAAGATAGTGGAAGAAGAAGAAGCAAA CGCATCTTTGCATCCACTTGGAGCTTCTGATGGCATGTGTGATGATGATATTCCTATGGAAAAGGATGAACTTGAGGTAGCTGAATGCGTTA ATAAAATCTTTAGTCTCTGAGAAAATCGACACTAGTAGAAAACTGTAGCACGTGTACAGTCAGATCAGATCACGTCAGAGAGAAGACGCAGCTGGCAGAGAAGTCAGCTG AAAAAGGAGGGAAAGACCAAAGACTACTCTGGTAGGAAGCTTGGGAAATCTATGGATTCTAATAATGTGGATTGGAAGAGCAATGACAT GGAAGAGGATCAAGGAGAGTTGAGTAGAGCACCAGAGAGCATCAAAGTAAAAATTCCCGAAATGACATCTGATTGGCAGAGTCCTGTTA GGTCTTCCCCAGATGATATATATGCTGTCTGCACATCAATTTCCACTACAACACCTCAAAGATCTCACGAGGCTGTAGAAGCATCTCTG CCTGCAATAACAAGGACAAAAAGTAACTTGGGAAAGAATATTAGAGAACATGGTTGTAAAGTGCAGGGCACTGGAAAAACCTGAAGTGAG ${\tt TCGGGATAGGCCTAGCTCTGTGAGAAACTTCTAGAGAGGACATCTACACTGTTCGTCCATCGCCAGAAAATACGGGTCAGAAAACCGTTTG$ AAGCTTTTGAACCATCTTATGGTGCAAGTTTGTCCCATTTCGACGATGGTCTTGCTGCTAAGTATGGTGGTGTCGGTGGAGGCTATAGA ATGCCGGATCCTCCTTTCCTACCGGATCAGTTTCCATTGAGAAATGGTCCTAACGAGATGTTTGATTTCCGAGGATATTCAGACCTTGA TAGAGGGATTGGTCAAAGAGAATATCCACAGCAGTACGGTGGGCACTTGGACCCCATGTTAGCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCCAAATCTGATGG ACAATGCATTCCCATTGCAACAACGTTATGCGCCTCATTTCGATCAAATGAATTACCAGAGGATGAGCTCTTTCCCACCTCAGCCTCCA TTGCAACCTAGCGGACATAATCTCTTAAATCCTCATGACTTTCCACTGCCACCGCCACCACGTGACTTCGAAATGAGTCCAAGGGG ${\tt TTTTGCCCCTGGCCCGAACCCGAACTACCCTTATATGAGTCGATCTGGCGGTTGGATTAATGACTAGATCAGCACTCATTATCCTTGTA$ GTTGCAACATTAGTAGTTTGATTGATCTTTTGTGTCTCACTCTACGAAAGTGTAGGAAGAATAGAAGAAATCTATAACTTTTCTCTGCC

transcript ra	anscript ratios of core LURP genes represented in figure 3A																					
Locus Identifier	common name/putative function	pad4 0 8k	pad4 0 26k	pad 4 12 8	pad4 12 26k	pad 4 48 8k pa	d4 48 26k	rpp7 0 8k	rpp7 0 26k	edm2 0 26k	rpp7 12 8k	rpp7 12 26k e	dm2 12 26k	rpp7 24 26k	edm2 24 26k	rpp7 48 8k	rpp7 48 26ked	Im2 48 26k	rpp8 0 8k i	rpp8 0 26k	rpp8 12 8k rpr	p8 12 26k
At1g21250	NPR4	3.7428571	1.1456	0.983553	0.425678933	6.40963855	8.28520752	0.75659824	l 1	1 1	5.967948718	3.1908	3.1908	0.769967	2.493	2.429844098	1.017433	0.584857	5.236364	1.786	1.5528647	1.615049187
At1g72910	TIR-NB-LRR disease resistance gene	1.96875	5 3.41816737	0.712963	0.996910445	1.47154472	1.583991086	0.992462312	0.937171	1 3.2368	3.426229508	3.6256	3.6256	0.927162	0.589211	1.332575758	1.069799	0.720023	1.475593	1.335420467	1.6189376	1.459906718
At2g14560	unknown	1.12	2.7152	0.109195	0.114889762	12.7981651	5.890687916	1	0.291969	9 1.040975	13	3.843739	6.4152	0.487613	0.841238	3.629186603	1.01717	0.630641	6.76	7.553728489	1.6361768	1.651190389
At2g25510	unknown	1.8877551	1.95079404	0.598364	0.822110842	1.61165919	1.07517651	0.836975994	0.873297	7 1.604721	1.585638298	5.245704	4.157732	0.992224	0.880549	1.201694915	1.398423	1.152639	3.156008	2.164836731	1.4206224	1.080272814
At2g41090	CaBPP22	1.52	2.41000257	0.754237	1.066695603	2.86740332	2.617277665	0.866855524	0.911875	5 2.607145	2.573033708	12.427577	5.451776	1.069193	0.891477	1.724615385	1.131416	0.538086	3.3	5.145684366	1.3817382	1.315696616
At3g22240	unknown	3.1333333	3 4.2672246	0.187313	0.587806125	3.98245614	1.305590361	0.903092784	1.718835	5 2.789859	13.75471698	7.187721	10.01404	2.425686	0.892931	2.619097587	4.585583	0.998073	4.900498	3.627591457	1.3041412	1.457364297
At3g56400	WRKY70	1	3.5812	0.971831	0.538986963	4.75	5.690888352	1	0.563111	1 1.3134	4.24	6.188	4.50889	0.721388	0.992569	3.434782609	0.937965	0.645011	3.6	2.32929088	0.5401929	1.120631206
At4g14400	ACD6	1.7054264	2.38915471	0.787037	0.374481558	2.98777506	3.883551779	0.819875776	0.45641	1 1.402	4.064327485	13.547438	7.627671	0.707028	1.591007	1.897689769	1.418757	0.650717	9.696429	6.184806753	1.1895425	1.129769058
At4a19660	unknown	1.96875	5 1.4256	1.577778	1.033193571	2,42105263	1.763583355	0.524590164	0.992768	8 1,133956	1.888888889	1.310971	1,174128	0.867717	1,446886	2.2888888889	0.923906	0.774191	2.622222	1.113982199	1,1969697	0.833697732

signal inte	nsities of genes co-	expressed with core Ll	URP genes (Pearson correl	lation coreffic	iens =/> 0.8) repr	esented in figu	ire 3B					
Systematic Na	me common name (or GO ter	Col-5, Time 0 raw Col-5, Tir	me 12 raw Col-5	Time 24 raw Col-	5, Time 48 raw <mark>edr</mark>	n2, Time 0 raw edm2,	lime 12 raw edm2 ,	Time 24 rawedm2	, Time 48 raw rpp7 ,	Time 0 raw rpp7	Time 12 raw rpp7	, Time 24rpp7 , Tir	ne 48 raw
At2g25510		1,558	3,741	3,824	5,294	970.6	899.8	4,343	4,593	1,784	713.2	3,854	3,78
At2g41090		241.2	936.9	1,052	1,091	92.51	171.9	1,180	2,027	264.5	75.39	983.7	964.
At5g45470		37.40	48.9	1 812	09.17	122.4	20 161 7	2 020	2 633	35.74	20	20.31	30.8
At5g22240		93.32	206.2	158.1	233	55.28	76.44	167.4	359.9	54.13	25	156.9	138
At3g04210	disease resistance protein (TI	70.86	90.93	89.65	143.7	25	26.59	77.7	140.1	61.51	25	107	87.7
At1g19960		81.6	137.1	284.4	554.7	67.11	60.04	482.9	600	108.3	50.93	228.3	432.
At3g56400	WRKY70	32.83	154.7	142.9	243.9	25	34.31	144	378.1	58.31	25	198.1	26
At2g40750	WRKY54	32.62	49.67	54.12	74.11	35.72	25	55.83	110.3	40.42	25	59.33	62.9
At4g14400	ACD6	35.05	357	204.8	412.0	20	40.8	160.1	034.1	70.79	20.30	300.3	290.
At5g23420		32.12	47.93	44.94	50.79	25	25	47.74	43.58	36.56	20.74	39.44	36.0
At5g47210		283.7	334.8	354.2	524.2	189.5	134.8	404.4	450.1	342.3	153.5	452.7	345.
At4g15150		314.8	317.8	389.6	388.7	275.6	247.5	375.1	393.1	314.4	264.6	378.6	311.
At5g19250		319.4	395.6	424.8	512	185.5	125.4	510.7	662.5	385.1	122.2	473.1	617.
At5g55070	diagona engistanan protain (T)	146.5	148	188.5	200.7	100.8	130.4	1/0.1	197.1	152.6	93.99	149.2	166.
At3c04870	disease resistance protein (1	114.3	144.6	187 7	213.4	107.7	104	147.4	178.1	122.6	97 74	183.6	145
At5g03350		193.2	394.7	1,252	1,966	84.29	48.33	1,015	1,847	304.9	50.78	1,119	2,04
At5g66470		25	51.54	46.72	61.23	26.39	25	45.93	45.88	41.7	25	41.62	41.6
At4g17390		1,736	2,054	2,125	2,338	1,546	967.7	2,453	2,139	1,555	900.1	2,021	1,98
At1g07370		60.99	58.73	71.42	90.71	33.53	25	80.33	73.89	51.51	25	76.35	55.5
At5g40770		124.7	170.1	157.3	146.8	99.16	100.2	1/1.1	169.3	122.7	81.35	168.3	147.
At3d23600	CINGES, CICERCINGELLOII	341.5	433.7	40.20	444.8	304.9	300.1	504	550.3	339.9	386.5	396.2	50
At4g22870		35.37	37.27	52.56	59.96	25	29.64	47.32	72.38	33.12	25	25	48.7
At3g51140		140.1	167.5	157	182.8	126.5	104.5	167.6	166	135	105.5	143.9	127.
At5g61030		94.61	102.2	112.6	113.7	39.67	25	138.9	136.1	83.46	25	119.3	86.4
At4g26780		47.62	42.12	62.31	54.81	25	25	59.81	64.98	38.44	25	61.42	50.0
At1g43920		30.19	42.19	48.7	53.67	25	25	34.88	61.36	38.19	25	42.81	28.6
At2038810		29.12	2,306	34.15	42.06	25	25	35.47	35.76	25	25	2,309	31.0
At3g09630		2,587	2,547	2,817	3,020	2,271	2,217	3,050	2,838	2,071	2,214	2,779	2,93
At1g14320		2,694	2,916	3,419	3,749	1,999	1,163	3,007	3,303	2,551	1,453	3,309	2,88
At5g10920		32.47	49.22	44.86	58.83	27.36	31.82	46.94	42.67	35.67	25	44.3	36.1
At3g44940		33.76	39.85	50.91	50.5	33.74	31.32	64.14	56.44	42.87	28.05	48.66	43.9
At5q59870		2,357	2,040	3,133	5,395	2,005	79.79	3,411	2,949	2,333	74.82	302.9	2,24
At5g61170		1,329	1,365	1,567	1,586	845.8	530	1,794	1,592	1,154	611	1,434	1,51
At2g31060		29.9	41.38	31.35	35.92	25	27.78	37.42	46.78	31.42	29.57	33.33	37.9
At1g76960		79.5	58.08	195.2	462.6	62.53	45.22	543.9	598.5	80.94	39.5	107.2	321.
At5g61020		111.7	157	147.4	156.7	99.62	123	132.2	172.3	138.7	105.6	162.8	130.
At5g02870		424.0	490.3	524.0	54.72	325.9	240.1	630.3 53.72	488.7	422.7	233.1	52.99	021. 30.5
At5q49910		306	330.1	594.6	664.1	137.3	83.35	519.5	478.8	333.5	117.1	582	605.
At1g75040	PR5	78.01	127.5	155.7	204	56.52	77.4	133.9	382.1	100.8	70.46	166.3	102.
At3g20050		44.56	58.06	53.67	61.07	39.24	45.06	58.31	58.1	54.54	34.06	61.02	57.4
At3g05060		45.8	47.34	74.18	87.65	30.4	25	52.13	76.51	48.06	25	54.8	33.0
At3g47480		26.23	69.32	51.53	85.88	25	25	116	170.3	46.44	34.15	128.2	122.
At5q58310		4,051	31.62	25	31.89	25	25	31.82	36.56	25	2,210	25	29.6
At1g31580		2,078	4,003	4,864	5,877	1,348	1,619	4,958	6,075	950.1	89.68	1,473	455.
At1g55210	similar to pathogenesis-relate	4 9.3	70.82	81.99	77.68	25	25	148.7	127.2	64.02	31.35	83.07	107.
At5g50340		36.67	35.99	53.51	60.04	28.17	25	48.24	60.22	49.69	30.93	56.54	42.4
At3g15680		73.92	61.71	92.01	96.62	46.19	31.28	90.81	91.96	/1.4/	27.64	78.92	66.4
At2a19730		765.4	886.4	838.2	973.8	463.4	330.4	897.9	846.9	598	342	751.1	546
At3g28540		30.82	40.2	69.74	148.3	25	25	151.3	336.3	48.28	25	184.4	150.
At5g62840		26.85	28.22	35.09	45.97	25	25	38.16	34.9	31.84	25	33.22	30.2
At2g14560		30.74	160.4	172.3	682.5	29.53	25	204.8	1,082	105.3	41.72	353.4	670.
At2g21280		116.7	141.9	169.6	192.7	82.88	46.49	201.7	150.4	139.1	45.4	140.8	127.
At5q10910		49.97	34.9	36.11	37.59	25	40.00	42.44	33.9	43.20	40.28	31 77	47.0
At5q61790		506.5	342.3	548.6	661.4	235.4	151.3	739.8	829.8	526.4	272.2	560.9	692.
At5g16710		94.94	102.8	103.8	126.2	72.57	61.01	92.32	102.7	84.43	60.94	94.67	82.5
At1g18080		1,525	1,474	1,695	1,697	1,338	1,035	1,770	1,702	1,353	1,164	1,570	1,81
At5g52650		934.5	982.9	1,044	1,063	611.4	544.8	1,309	1,032	783.6	571.5	1,118	1,03
At2g28000 At2g40510		200.4	313.3	335.1	398.5	194.3	81.33	312.4	343.6	284.7	67.07	333.5	432.
At2q46440		32.74	66.81	70.6	74.29	250.5	25	31.74	138.5	28.08	25	72.19	39.0
At1g53120		47.53	53.65	61.78	56.97	33.22	33.01	43.38	62.59	42.6	34.1	61.12	45.
At5g12080		47.85	39.43	59.35	49.22	27.06	25	64.11	64.07	40.89	25	54.6	44.0
At1g73350		25	34.69	34.32	31.89	25	25	32.03	35.19	25	25	35.45	2
At1g23290		1,//2	1,720	1,8/5	2,052	1,089	834.8 48.94	2,469	1,887	1,422	852.7	1,762	1,79
At4g02930		59.01	77.13	57.87	61.94	37.28	28.39	70.62	95.37	64.53	29.02	62.62	66.9
At5g02620		31.85	32.79	39.38	38.33	25	25	41.63	47.02	40.02	25	32.24	38.5
At1g18540		823.5	748.9	851.2	1,144	491.6	280.4	788.2	829.3	593.1	261.8	737	831.

Supplementary Table 3:

A: Primers of key markers used for EDM2 fine mapping

5'-GCAGCTACTATGCAACGAATGAG-3'
5'-GAGGTTTAGAGGGTCCACTCG-3'
5'-GGTTAATTTACTAAATTGTGAAGC-3'
5'-CCCATAAGAATGTGGATATCC-3'
5'-ATTGGCAAATCAAGTTGCAG-3'
5'-CGATAAAATTTGGATTGTAC-3'

<u>B: Primers used for RT-PCRs shown in Figure 3</u>

PR1-R:5'-GGCACATCCGAGTCTCAC TGA C-3',WRKY70-F:5'-AACGACGGCAAGTTTGAAGATTC-3',WRKY70-R:5'-TTCTGGCCACACCAATGACAAGT-3',CaBP-22-F:5'-CGGAACCATCAATTT CACTGAGT-3',CaBP-22-R:5'-CAAAGTGCCACCAGTTGTGTCAT-3',At2g14560-F:5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3',PP7-F:5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	<i>PR1-</i> F:	5'-TTCCCTCGAAAGCTCAAGATAGC-3',
WRKY70-F:5'- AACGACGGCAAGTTTGAAGATTC-3',WRKY70-R:5'-TTCTGGCCACACCAATGACAAGT-3',CaBP-22-F:5'-CGGAACCATCAATTT CACTGAGT-3',CaBP-22-R:5'-CAAAGTGCCACCAGTTGTGTCAT-3',At2g14560-F:5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3',RPP7-F:5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTAAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	<i>PR1-</i> R:	5'-GGCACATCCGAGTCTCAC TGA C-3',
WRKY70-R:5'-TTCTGGCCACACCAATGACAAGT-3',CaBP-22-F:5'-CGGAACCATCAATTT CACTGAGT-3',CaBP-22-R:5'-CAAAGTGCCACCAGTTGTGTCAT-3',At2g14560-F:5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3',RPP7-F:5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	<i>WRKY70</i> -F:	5'- AACGACGGCAAGTTTGAAGATTC-3',
CaBP-22-F:5'-CGGAACCATCAATTT CACTGAGT-3',CaBP-22-R:5'-CAAAGTGCCACCAGTTGTGTCAT-3',At2g14560-F:5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3',RPP7-F:5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	<i>WRKY70</i> -R:	5'-TTCTGGCCACACCAATGACAAGT-3',
CaBP-22-R:5'-CAAAGTGCCACCAGTTGTGTCAT-3',At2g14560-F:5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3', <i>RPP7-F</i> :5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3', <i>RPP7-R</i> :5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3', <i>ACT8</i> -F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	CaBP-22-F:	5'-CGGAACCATCAATTT CACTGAGT-3',
At2g14560-F:5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3', <i>RPP7-F</i> :5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3', <i>RPP7-R</i> :5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3', <i>ACT8</i> -F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	<i>CaBP-22-</i> R:	5'-CAAAGTGCCACCAGTTGTGTCAT-3',
At2g14560-R:5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3',RPP7-F:5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'CT8-D:5' CTTTTTATCCCACTTTCAACACCCC 2'	At2g14560-F:	5'-CTCGACGACTCTTGTGTTGTCTAC-3',
RPP7-F:5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'ACT8-D:5' CTTTTTATCCCACTTCAACACCCC 2'	At2g14560-R:	5'-GCT AAGGGCATGTGTTTGTATTTA-3',
RPP7-R:5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',ACT8-F:5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'ACT8-D:5' CTTTTTATCCCACCTTCAACACCCC 2'	<i>RPP7-</i> F:	5'-GTCGATGACTATATGCATCCT C-3',
ACT8-F: 5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'	<i>RPP7-</i> R:	5'-CAGATGCATCATTTATAGGAAATGC-3',
	<i>ACT8</i> -F:	5'-ATGAAGATTAAGGTCGTGGCAC-3'
ACTO-R. 5-GITTTATCCGAGITTGAAGAGGC-3.	<i>ACT8</i> –R:	5'-GTTTTTATCCGAGTTTGAAGAGGC-3'.