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Fig. S1 Phylogenetic analysis of the selected CNLs from multiple plant species. The CC and NB domain protein sequences of CNLs were aligned with ClustalW and a Neighbor-Joining tree was inferred from the alignment. Node labels represent bootstrap support values. RPM1, RPS2 and MR5 are highlighted with blue boxes.


Fig. S2 MdRIN4-2 and RIN4 homologs from Pyrus species can activate MR5 upon AvrRpt2directed cleavage. (a) and (b) Proteins were expressed in $N$. benthamiana leaves by agroinfiltration, using the following $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ for Agrobacterium strains carrying the following constructs: MdRIN4-2 and other RIN4 homologs or MR5-0.4, AvrRpt2 variants - 0.05. PpRIN4 is a RIN4 homolog from Pyrus pyrifolia and PuRIN4 is a homolog from Pyrus ussuriensis. PCD symptoms were photographed at 3 dpi . Yellow asterisks indicate agroinfiltrated leaf area showing PCD.

(b)


Fig. S3 RIN4 homologs from N. benthamiana cannot suppress RPS2 auto-activity or activate MR5 when co-expressed with EaAvrRpt2.
(a) Agroinfiltration was carried out as described in Fig. S2. PCD symptoms were photographed at 3 dpi. Yellow asterisks indicate agroinfiltrated leaf area showing PCD.
(b) In planta processing of NbRIN4 variants by EaAvrRpt2. Protein bands corresponding to the unprocessed and processed AvrRpt2 are marked with single and double asterisk, respectively. Agroinfiltration was carried out as described in (a). Leaf samples were collected at 2 dpi and protein extracts were probed with anti-Myc or anti-HA antibodies. Ponceau staining the RuBisCO large subunit is provided to show equal protein loading. Accession numbers for NbRIN4 genes are NbRIN4-1 (Genbank: KX272617.1), NbRIN4-2 (Solgenomics predicted gene ID: Niben101Scf08799g00001) and NbRIN4-3 (Solgenomics predicted gene ID:

Niben101Scf03488g06005.1).
(a)

(b)

Myc-RIN4


Fig. S4 Phylogenetic tree of RIN4 homologs from diverse plant species. Protein sequences of RIN4 homologs were aligned with ClustalW and a Neighbor-Joining tree was inferred from the alignment. Node labels represent bootstrap support values. AtRIN4, GmRIN4 and MdRIN4 homologs are highlighted with red font.


Fig. S5 F179A substitution of MdRIN4 abolish AvrRpt2-triggered and MR5-dependent induction of ion leakage. MR5 ( $O D_{600}=0.4$ ), RIN4 variants $\left(O D_{600}=0.4\right)$ and AvrRpt2 variants $\left(O D_{600}=0.05\right)$ were co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves by agroinfiltration. Each bar represents mean of 4 electrolyte leakage measurements. Error bars represent S.E.M. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD analysis. Bars labeled with identical letter indicate that there is no significant statistical difference ( $P$-value $<0.05$ ). The experiment was conducted twice with similar results.


Fig. S6 Reciprocal mutations at $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ amino acid residues dramatically alter chimeric RIN4 ACP3 function. The polymorphic amino acid residues in the C-NOI fraction of RIN4 ACP3 chimeric proteins where mutated to the ones from the other RIN4 homolog using site-directed mutagenesis. Resulting constructs were expressed via agroinfiltration in $N$. benthamiana leaves. Strains carrying constructs coding for RIN4 ACP3 chimeras with or without mutations were infiltrated at $O_{600}-0.4, ~ M R 5-0.4$. PCD symptoms were photographed at 3 dpi. Yellow asterisks indicate agroinfiltrated leaf area showing PCD.

MR5


Fig. S7 MdRIN4 ${ }^{\text {D186N/F193Y }}$ suppresses RPS2 auto-activity when co-expressed with AvrRpt2. RPS2 $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.1\right)$, RIN4 variants $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.4\right)$ and AvrRpt2 variants ( $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.05$ ) were co-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves by agroinfiltration. C88A stands for EaAvrRpt2 ${ }^{\text {C88A }}$ and $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{Y}$ for MdRIN4 ${ }^{D 186 N / F 193 Y}$. Graph represents electrolyte leakage levels measured in the infected leaf samples. Each bar represents mean of 8 electrolyte leakage measurements. Error bars represent S.E.M. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD analysis. Bars labeled with identical letter indicate that there is no significant statistical difference ( $P$-value < 0.05). The experiment was conducted three times with similar results.


Fig. S8 Full-length RIN4 variants with mutations in polymorphic residues accumulate to higher levels and can be eliminated by AvrRpt2 when expressed in planta. Protein bands corresponding to the unprocessed and processed AvrRpt2 are marked with single and double asterisks, respectively. Agrobacterium strains carrying constructs coding for RIN4 full-length variants with or without mutations and EaAvrRpt2 were infiltrated into N. benthamiana leaves at $O D_{600}=0.4$ and $O D_{600}=0.1$, respectively. Leaf samples were collected at 2 dpi and protein extracts probed with anti-Myc or anti-HA antibodies. Ponceau staining the RuBisCO large subunit is provided to show equal protein loading.


Fig. S9 The palmitoylation sequence motif is required for function of RIN4 full-length and ACP3 variants carrying reciprocal mutations at D/N or F/Y amino acid residues. (a) The full-length RIN4 variants with mutations in their palmitoylation sequence lose ability to suppress RPS2 auto-activity or activate MR5 upon EaAvrRpt2-directed cleavage. Agroinfiltration was carried out as described in Fig. S2. RIN4 AAA indicates RIN4 variants with mutations of three Cys to Ala residues in palmitoylation sequence. PCD symptoms were photographed at 3 dpi. Yellow asterisks indicate agroinfiltrated leaf area showing PCD. (b) Mutations in palmitoylation sequence lead to lower protein accumulation, possibly due loss of membrane localization. Agroinfiltration was carried out as mentioned in Fig. S 8 and leaf samples were collected at 2 dpi and then protein extracts were probed with anti-Myc antibody. Ponceau staining the RuBisCO large subunit is provided to show equal protein loading. (c) RIN4 ACP3 variants with mutations in their palmitoylation sequence lose ability to activate MR5. Agroinfiltration was carried out as described in Fig. S2. ACP3 AAA indicates RIN4 ACP3 variants with mutations in palmitoylation sequence. PCD symptoms were photographed at 3 dpi. Yellow asterisks indicate agroinfiltrated leaf area showing PCD. (d) Mutations in palmitoylation sequence lead to changes of protein abundance. Agroinfiltration was carried out as mentioned in Fig. S8 and leaf samples were collected at 2 dpi and then protein extracts were probed with anti-Myc antibodies. Ponceau staining the RuBisCO large subunit is provided to show equal protein loading.


Fig. S10 MdRIN4 ${ }^{\text {D186N/F193Y }}$ ACP3 variant can suppress RPM1 and RPS2 mediated autoimmunity. (a) RPS2 or RPM1 $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.05\right)$, RIN4 variants $\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.4\right)$ were co-expressed in $N$. benthamiana leaves by agroinfiltration and PCD symptoms were photographed at 2 dpi. (b) N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated as in (a). Graph represents electrolyte leakage levels measured in the infiltrated leaf samples. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey-Kramer HSD analysis. Bars labeled with identical letter indicate that there is no significant statistical difference ( $P$-value $<0.05$ ). Each bar represents mean of 4 electrolyte leakage measurements. Error bars represent S.E.M. The experiment was conducted three times with similar results.


Fig. S11 RIN4 transgene expression in Arabidopsis transgenic lines. RIN4 transgene expression was assessed using semi-quantitative PCR approach. EF1 $\alpha$ expression is used as a reference (27 cycles). RIN4 transcript was amplified with 33 cycles.


Table S1 Primers used in this study

|  | Primer name | Primer sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PsAvrRpt2_F | GGTCTCGAATGATGAAAATTGCTCCAGTTGCC |
| 2 | PsAvrRpt2_F | GGTCTCACGAAGCGGTAGAGCATTGCGTGT |
| 3 | EaAvrRpt2_F | GGTCTCGAATGAAAGTCAGTCATCTCACATCC |
| 4 | EaAvrRpt2_R | GGTCTCACGAAATTTTCACTGTATAACATGGCGTGT |
| 5 | RPS2_pt1_F | GGTCTCGAATGGATTTCATCTCATCTCTTATCGT |
| 6 | RPS2_pt1_R | GGTCTCACAACAACAAGAAACGTTTCTGTCT |
| 7 | RPS2_pt2_F | GGTCTCGGTTGCTAGATGATGTCTGGGAAG |
| 8 | RPS2_pt2_R | GGTCTCATTTCCAAGTATTCCAAGTCAGCG |
| 9 | RPS2_pt3_F | GGTCTCGGAAAACCTAACCACACTCGGTATC |
| 10 | RPS2_pt3_R | GGTCTCACGAAATTTGGAACAAAGCGCGGTAA |
| 11 | MR5_pt1_F | GGTCTCGAATGGGGGGAGAGGCTTTTCTT |
| 12 | MR5_pt1_R | GGTCTCAGACTCCACAGTTTGTTGTTCAAT |
| 13 | MR5_pt2_F | GGTCTCGAGTCTATCAAATGAGCACGACA |
| 14 | MR5_pt2_R | GGTCTCACTTGAATGGGACCATTCTAGCAC |
| 15 | MR5_pt3_F | GGTCTCGCAAGCGACACAAGAGAAACAGAA |
| 16 | MR5_pt3_R | GGTCTCATGTATTCTTCTGAGATTTTGGGGAA |
| 17 | MR5_pt1_F | GGTCTCGTACAGATAAGAGATTGCAGAAGTTTGA |
| 18 | MR5_pt1_F | GGTCTCACGAAAATCATCTTCCAATCTATATCTATGTA |
| 19 | RPM1_pt1_F | GGTCTCGAATGGCTTCGGCTACTGTTGATTT |
| 20 | RPM1_pt1_R | GGTCTCACTCTTTCTTTTCATCCGATAGTTCACA |
| 21 | RPM1_pt2_F | GGTCTCGAGAGGCTCATTAGGATGTGGATG |
| 22 | RPM1_pt2_R | GGTCTCACGAAAGATGAGAGGCTCACATAGAAAGAG |
| 23 | AtRIN4_F | GGTCTCGAATGGCACGTTCGAATGTACCA |
| 24 | AtRIN4_R | GGTCTCAAAGCTCATTTTCCTCCAAAGCCAAAGCA |
| 25 | MdRIN4_F | GGTCTCGAATGGCACAACGTTCACATGTAC |


| 26 | MdRIN4_R | GGTCTCACGAATCATTTTCTGCCCCATGGAAAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | MdRIN4_CLV1-2_R for Chimeric RIN4 | GGTCTCAAAATTTGGGAACAGCAGCACCTTTC |
| 28 | AtRIN4_CLV3_F for Chimeric RIN4 | GGTCTCGATTTGGTGACTGGGACGAGAACAAC |
| 29 | AtRIN4_CLV1-2_R for Chimeric RIN4 | GGTCTCAGAATTTAGGCACCACTGTGAC |
| 30 | MdRIN4_CLV3_F for Chimeric RIN4 | GGTCTCGATTCGGCGAGTGGGATGAGAAC |
| 31 | GFP_F | GGTCTCGAATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAG |
| 32 | AtRIN4_CLV1_R | GGTCTCACGAATCATCCAAATTTTGGTACATTCGAACG |
| 33 | MdRIN4_CLV1_R | GGTCTCACGAATCAGCCAAACTTTGGTACATGTGAAC |
| 34 | AtRIN4_CLV2_F | GGTCTCGAATGAACTGGGAAGCTGAGGAGAAT |
| 35 | AtRIN4_CLV2_R | GGTCTCACGAATCAACCGAATTTAGGCACCACTGT |
| 36 | MdRIN4_CLV2_F | GGTCTCGAATGAACTGGGAAGACCAAGAAAGTGT |
| 37 | MdRIN4_CLV2_R | GGTCTCACGAATCAGCCAAATTTGGGAACAGCAGC |
| 38 | AtRIN4_CLV3_F | GGTCTCGAATGGACTGGGACGAGAACAACCC |
| 39 | AtRIN4_CLV3_R | GGTCTCACGAATCATTTTCCTCCAAAGCCAAAGC |
| 40 | MdRIN4_CLV3_F | GGTCTCGAATGGAGTGGGATGAGAACGACCCG |
| 41 | MdRIN4_CLV3_R | GGTCTCACGAATCATTTTCTGCCCCATGGAAAG |
| 42 | AtRIN4_CLV3_pt1_R for Chimeric CLV3 | GGTCTCAGCCTTCTCTTCACGGACTTTATTGAAGA |
| 43 | MdRIN4_CLV3_pt2_F for Chimeric CLV3 | GGTCTCAAGGCGGGAAAAGCACCAGG |
| 44 | MdRIN4_CLV3_pt1_R for Chimeric CLV3 | GGTCTCACTTCTCTCCTCCCGCACTTTGTTG |
| 45 | AtRIN4_CLV3_pt2_F for Chimeric CLV3 | GGTCTCAGAAGTTCTGGAGCAAATGTGAGT |


| 46 | PbRIN4_F | GGTCTCAAATGGCACAACGTTCACATGTACCAAAGTTT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 47 | PbRIN4_R | GGTCTCAAAGCTCATTTTCTGCCCCACGGAA |
| 48 | EaAvrRpt2_C88A_F | CAACAGAATGAGCGAATGGGCGCCTGGTATGCCTGCACCAG |
| 49 | EaAvrRpt2_C88A_F | CTGGTGCAGGCATACCAGGCGCCCATTCGCTCATTCTGTTG |
| 50 | PsAvrRpt2_C122A_F | CGTATCCCAAGGTAATGAGCGAATGGGAGCTTGGTATGCCTGC |
| 51 | PsAvrRpt2_C122A_R | GCAGGCATACCAAGCTCCCATTCGCTCATTACCTTGGGATACG |
| 52 | MR5_K206A_F | GTATGGCTGGAGTCGGAGCGACAACACTTGCTGGAC |
| 53 | MR5_K206A_R | GTCCAGCAAGTGTTGTCGCTCCGACTCCAGCCATAC |
| 54 | MR5_D493V_F | TTTCAAAATATGTGATGCATGTCCTTATTGGTGATTTAGCACG |
| 55 | MR5_D493V_R | CAAAATATGTGATGCATAACCTTATTGGTGATTTAGC |
| 56 | MR5_D493N_F | GCTAAATCACCAATAAGGTTATGCATCACATATTTTG |
| 57 | MR5_D493N_R | GTTCACATGTACCAAAGGCTGGCAATTGGGAAGACC |
| 58 | MdRIN4_F10A_F RCS1_mut | GGATCACCAATAAGGACATGCATCACATATTTTGAAA |
| 59 | MdRIN4_F10A_R RCS1_mut | GGTCTTCCCAATTGCCAGCCTTTGGTACATGTGAAC |
| 60 | MdRIN4_F179A_F RCS2_mut | GGTGCTGCTGTTCCCAAAGCTGGCGAGTGGGATGAG |
| 61 | MdRIN4_F179A_R RCS2_mut | CTCATCCCACTCGCCAGCTTTGGGAACAGCAGCACC |
| 69 | AtRIN4_N158D_R | MdRIN4_CCC_to_ACA_F GPI |
| 62 | anchor mut | AtRIN4_N158D_F |
| 63 | MdRIN4_CCC_to_ACA_R GPI | anchor mut |


| 70 | AtRIN4_S160A_F | GGGACGAGAACAACCCGGCATCAGCTGACGGATAC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 71 | AtRIN4_S160A_R | GTATCCGTCAGCTGATGCCGGGTTGTTCTCGTCCC |
| 72 | AtRIN4_Y165F_F | CATCAGCTGACGGATTCACGCATATCTTCAATAA |
| 73 | AtRIN4_Y165F_R | TTATTGAAGATATGCGTGAATCCGTCAGCTGATG |
| 74 | MdtRIN4_E181D_F | GGTCTCGAATGGATTGGGATGAGAACGACCCGGC |
| 75 | MdRIN4_E181D_R | GCCGGGTCGTTCTCATCCCAATCCATTCGAGACC |
| 76 | MdRIN4_D186N_F | GGCGAGTGGGATGAGAACAACCCGGCATCAGCTG |
| 77 | MdRIN4_D186N_R | TCAGCTGATGCCGGGTTGTTCTCATCCCACTCGC |
| 78 | MdRIN4_A188S_F | GGGATGAGAACGACCCGTCATCAGCTGATGGTT |
| 79 | MdRIN4_A188S_R | AACCATCAGCTGATGACGGGTCGTTCTCATCCC |
| 80 | MdRIN4_F193Y_F | CATCAGCTGATGGTTACACTCATATATTCAACAA |
| 81 | MdRIN4_F193Y_R | TTGTTGAATATATGAGTGTAACCATCAGCTGATG |

Table S2 Table of approximate molecular weights of protein products and their fragments used in this study

| Protein name | Protein tag | Predicted size (kD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PsAvrRpt2 | C-terminal, 6xHA | 36 |
| PsAvrRpt2 ${ }^{\text {C122A }}$ | C-terminal, 6xHA | 36 |
| EaAvrRpt2 | C-terminal, 6xHA | 32 |
| EaAvrRpt2 ${ }^{\text {c88A }}$ | C-terminal, $6 \times \mathrm{HA}$ | 32 |
| AtRIN4 | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 28 |
| MdRIN4-1 | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 31 |
| MdRIN4-2 | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 32 |
| MR5 | C-terminal, 3xFLAG | 161 |
| MR5 ${ }^{\text {K206A }}$ | C-terminal, 3xFLAG | 161 |
| MR5 ${ }^{\text {D493V }}$ | C-terminal, 3xFLAG | 161 |
| MR5 ${ }^{\text {D493N }}$ | C-terminal, 3xFLAG | 161 |
| MR5 ${ }^{\text {K206A/D493V }}$ | C-terminal, 3xFLAG | 161 |
| RPS2 | C-terminal, 3xFLAG | 108 |
| A1-2M3 | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 28 |
| M1-2A3 | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 31 |
| MdRIN4-1 ${ }^{\text {110A }}$ | N -terminal, 4 xMyc | 31 |
| MdRIN4-1 ${ }^{\text {F179A }}$ | N -terminal, 4 xMyc | 31 |
| MdRIN4-1 ${ }^{\text {F10A/F179A }}$ | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 31 |
| AtRIN4_ACP3 | N -terminal, 4 xMyc | 11 |
| MdRIN4-1_ACP3 | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| M-A_ACP3 | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| A-M_ACP3 | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| AtRIN4_ACP3 ${ }^{\text {N158D }}$ | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| AtRIN4_ACP3 ${ }^{\text {Y165F }}$ | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| AtRIN4_ACP3 ${ }^{\text {N158D/Y165F }}$ | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| MdRIN4-1_ACP3 ${ }^{\text {D186N }}$ | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| MdRIN4-1_ACP3 ${ }^{\text {F193Y }}$ | N -terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| MdRIN4-1_ACP3 ${ }^{\text {D186N/F193Y }}$ | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 11 |
| RPM1 | C-terminal, GFP | 134 |
| AtRIN4 | N-terminal, 3xFLAG | 27 |
| AtRIN4 ${ }^{\text {N158D/Y165F }}$ | N-terminal, 3xFLAG | 27 |
| MdRIN4-1 | N-terminal, 3xFLAG | 30 |
| MdRIN4-1 ${ }^{\text {D186N/F193Y }}$ | N-terminal, 3xFLAG | 30 |
| RIPK | C-terminal, 3xHA | 55 |
| AtRIN4 ${ }^{\text {N158D/Y165F }}$ | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 28 |
| MdRIN4-1 ${ }^{\text {D186N/F193Y }}$ | N-terminal, 4xMyc | 31 |
| AvrRpm1 | C-terminal, GFP | 51 |

## Methods S1 Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from BASTA selected Arabidopsis thaliana T2 plants. RNA quality and quantity was measured and equalized prior to cDNA synthesis. EF1 $\alpha$ transcript amplification was used as the reference. We used primers which amplify the whole RIN4 cDNA together with the protein tag region in order to detect transgene expression (for primers see Table S1).

## Methods S2 RIN4 homolog and CNL phylogenetic analysis

Sequences of RIN4 homologs were downloaded from NCBI refseq database based on their similarity to Arabidopsis thaliana RIN4 protein sequence. We further used only the RIN4 homologs carrying two NOI-domains and two AvrRpt2 cleavage sites (consensus: [LVI]PxFGxW). In addition we excluded all the RIN4 homologs with disrupted or absent membrane anchoring domain in its C-terminus. The protein sequences showing more than $90 \%$ identity in the same species were discarded and the shortlisted RIN4 homologs were aligned using ClustalW. Neighbor-Joining phylogenetic tree was built using Geneious Prime tree builder with 100 bootstrap replicates. Only nodes with bootstrap support more than $70 \%$ were considered for final tree.

Protein sequences of reference CNLs were downloaded from Pathogen Receptor Genes database (http://www.prgdb.org). As comparison of the full length NLRs can lead to potentially false implication due to rapid rearrangement in LRR region, we only used truncated sequences containing the coiled-coil and NB-ARC regions based on InterProScan annotation. The resulted truncated protein sequences were aligned with ClustalW and a Neighbor-Joining phylogenetic tree was built using Geneious Prime tree builder with 100 bootstrap replicates. Only nodes with bootstrap support more than $70 \%$ were considered for final tree.

